

United States District Court
Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America
v.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Kenneth Tyler, aka Ken Bell

Defendant

Case Number: 05-80873 & 05-81029
(Friedman, J. & Cleland, J.)

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part I – Findings of Fact

(1) I find that: NOT A PRESUMPTION CASE

- ☐ there is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- ☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 841 or _____;
- ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

(2) I further find that the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternative Findings

✓ I find that the government has established by a preponderance of the evidence that there is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.

✓ I find that the government has established by clear and convincing evidence that there is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Part II – Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing established the following factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g):

✓ (a) nature of the offense—case involves identity theft, both possession and manufacture. Relatively sophisticated scheme with false documents, computers, multiple victims, multiple financial transfers.

✓ (b) weight of the evidence—strong, indicted in two separate cases.

✓ (c) history and characteristics of the defendant—currently unemployed, previous employment (Superior Management) appears related to the scheme to defraud; previous probation violations

✓ 1) physical and mental condition—drug use current and past

✓ 2) employment, financial, family ties—minimal legitimate past employment, employment related to criminal activity

✓ 3) criminal history and record of appearance—lengthy criminal record, probation violations

✓ (d) probation, parole or bond at time of the alleged offense—tried to manufacture fraudulent documents via girlfriend when he was in Oakland Co. Jail in order to falsely claim entitlement to work release.

✓ (e) danger to another person or community—definite evidence that if released (or if not released—see CD played in court)—defendant would continue his fraudulent activities.

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date 12/16/05

s/Virginia M. Morgan

Signature of Judge

Virginia M. Morgan, United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judge